| Cas      | 2:10-cv-03730-PA-SP  | Document 52   | Filed 07/10/12  | Page 1 of 2       | Page ID #:226           |
|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
|          |  |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 1        |  |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 2        |  |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 3        |  |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 4        |  |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 5        |  |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 6        |  |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 7<br>8   | T  | NITED CTA     | TEC DICTOIC     | TT COLIDT         |                         |
| 9        | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA                        |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 10       | CE   |               | TRICT OF CA     |                   | 1                       |
| 11       | KELLY J. DANIELS,  |               | ) Casa No. C    | V 10-3730-F       | DΛ (SD)                 |
| 12       |  | laintiff,     | case No. C      | V 10-3730-1       | A (SI)                  |
| 13       | v.   | ,             | ) <b>RECOMM</b> | <b>IENDATIO</b> I | FINDINGS AND NOF UNITED |
| 14       | L.A. COUNTY SHER   | RIFF'S K-9    | ) STATES N      | MAGISTRA          | TE JUDGE                |
| 15       | UNIT, et al.,  | Nafandant(a)  |                 |                   |                         |
| 16       | D  | Defendant(s). | }               |                   |                         |
| 17       |  |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 18<br>19 | Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Second Amended             |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 20       | Complaint, records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 21       | Magistrate Judge. Defendants have not filed any written Objections to the Report   |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 22       | within the time permitted. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of    |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 23       | the Magistrate Judge.  |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 24       |  |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 25       |  |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 26       |  |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 27       |  |               |                 |                   |                         |
| 28       |  |               |                 |                   |                         |
|          |  |               |                 |                   |                         |

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants' Motion to Dismiss is denied. Defendants are ordered to answer the Second Amended Complaint within fourteen days of this Order. DATED: July 10, 2012 HONORABLE PERCY ANDERSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE